

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/826,920 | 04/19/2004 | Shunpei Yamazaki | 12732-228001 / US7116 | 1020 | |
| 26171 | 7590 05/10/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022 | | | MOORE, k | MOORE, KARLA A | |
| | .IS, MN 55440-1022 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | -, | | 1763 | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | 10/826,920 | YAMAZAKI ET AL | YAMAZAKI ET AL. | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | Art Unit | | |
| | | Karla Moore | 1763 | • | | |
| The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply | f this communication a | ppears on the cover sheet v | with the correspondence ad | dress | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTOL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail of NO period for reply is specified abore Failure to reply within the set or exter | FROM THE MAILING under the provisions of 37 CFR ng date of this communication. we, the maximum statutory perioded period for reply will, by stat than three months after the mail | | IICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this contained the | • | | |
| Status | | | | • | | |
| 1) Responsive to commu | unication(s) filed on 21 | October 2004. | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | | nis action is non-final. | | • | | |
| 3) Since this application | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance | with the practice unde | r <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are p | ending in the application | on. | | | | |
| • | | rawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are | allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are | rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are | objected to. | · . | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subj | ect to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | , | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is obj | ected to by the Exami | ner. | • | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on | | | by the Examiner. | | | |
| | - | ne drawing(s) be held in abeya | • | | | |
| | | ection is required if the drawing | , , | R 1.121(d). | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is ma | ade of a claim for foreig | an priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) | | , | 3 (2) (2) 2. (1) | ` | | |
| | | nts have been received. | - | | | |
| | | nts have been received in A | Application No. *. | | | |
| | | iority documents have been | ·· —— | Stage . | | |
| | | au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| | | st of the certified copies no | t received. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO- | | | Summary (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statement | | | (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO |)-152) | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | (o) (i 10-1 110 0i F10/3B/0 | 6) Other: | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/826,920

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-7, drawn to a thin sheet mask having a pattern opening, classified in class 118, subclass 720.
 - II. Claims 8-16, drawn to a multi-chamber production apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 719.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require "a mask fixed to a frame in a stretched state". The subcombination has separate utility such as in an etching apparatus and/or in a single chamber deposition apparatus.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Jeffery Costellia on 23 March 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1763

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karla Moore whose telephone number is 571.272.1440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571.272.1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karla Moore Patent Examiner Art Unit 1763 24 March 2006